

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/613,104	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> WANDA Z. RUSSELL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2416	

**All Participants:**

 (1) WANDA Z. RUSSELL.

 (2) John Curtin.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 February 2009
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The attorney agreed to the examiner's amendment for single means issue to expedite prosecution of the application.*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Seema S. Rao/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)